

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
Reassessment of Federal Communications)	ET Docket No. 13-84
Commission Radiofrequency Exposure Limits and)	
Policies)	
)	
Proposed Changes in the Commission’s Rules)	ET Docket No. 03-137
Regarding Human Exposure to Radiofrequency)	
Electromagnetic Fields)	
)	

To: Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554

Comment Filed by: Rebecca Rundquist
901 Newcombe St.
Lakewood, CO 80215
Mrknbcky@aol.com
303-278-8498

August 28, 2013

The proliferation of wireless technology has taken over the American landscape. It has revolutionized the manner in which we live. The government has been at the forefront of this technological push, and the public has accepted it without question. Unless they are like me, and have become sensitized by exposure to everyday frequencies.

With each new advancement the physical symptoms I experience become worse, and the world I once knew becomes more difficult to live in. I started to do research when my symptoms, which include dizziness, confusion, ear- ringing, pressure and pain, became noticeable. The wealth of information was overwhelming as I discovered the world of electrosensitivity and other harmful effects caused by various frequencies. What was troubling to me was the lack of verifiable research done in this country, and the dismissal of research results done around the world. What was more disconcerting was that the industry was governed by the FCC and FDA, not the EPA.

Radiating technology has become so pervasive and invasive that the lives of my family have been permanently altered. Our 29-year old daughter, who is more sensitive than I, has been unemployed for 3 years. As more antennae permeate the outdoors, and wireless technology permeated the indoors, she is more or less housebound. We have not watched TV since the enforced digital switchover; we cannot use our swamp cooler or fans during the summer; we use an outdated computer with dial-up service and "simple" cell phones only when necessary. If we are experiencing these difficulties with the current exposure limits, I fear an increase would be intolerable.

I propose a moratorium until proper research is conducted, preferably by the EPA who would not be influenced by the industry. This research must include biology-based studies of radiation exposure. The cost to my family has already been too high.

Respectfully submitted by
Rebecca L. Rundquist